The shocking truth about the ee TV dinosaurs locked in a deadly fight to survive in the shrinking world of rabid reporting

IV MICHAEL GILTZ

"Hard Copy" — the last of the once-mighty syndicated ploid TV shows — are locked in a uggle to the death that would the the World Wrestling departure property

deration proud.

3attle-scarred, 10-year veteran ard Copy" which even considered anging its name this season after anging its name this season after imping longtime anchor Terry urphy and tweaking its format ay be the weakest right now, but I three could stumble along for

other year or two before more

sunlties mount. Each show assumes it will be the st man standing; each wants to ow it's the king of the hill, even if at hill looks smaller every day. As te long-time veteran who's worked i several of the shows put it: "That are is dying on the vine."

Or, more accurately, that genre is surishing in primetime. "Dateline" is on four nights a eek, "20/20" on three, "60 linutes," "48 Hours" and "Fox

illes" on one night ich, with a "60 linutes" junior on the ay. There's also CNN, ISNBC, and Fox News hannel filling air time

4 hours a day. "60 Minutes" senior, f course, just telecast a ian being put to death - a stunt that would ave been decried if abloid TVA had done (Aired to "stimulate ebste," CBS said; not o garner the killer rate ngs that inevitably fol-

Not surprisingly, rat-ngs for tabloid TV hows have taken a live. They compete vith game shows and itcom reruns for atten-ion, but there's no loubt the surfeit of

loubt the surfeit of primetime news magaines has taken its toll.

"A Current Affair"

- the granddaddy of syndicated news magatines — gleefully ruled the roost in the late '80s, regularly reaching 9 million homes with each broadcast. Now, according to Nielsen, "Extra" was No. I in the genre the last week Now, according to Nielsen, "Extra"
was No. 1 in the genre the last week
of November with only a paltry 4.5
million people watching. "Inside
Edition" is right at its heels with
4.2 viewers, while "Hard Copy"
draws about 3.1 million. (One former staffer of that show — glad to
be gone and back doing "real news"
— fondly remembers the time they
8 million people a night tuned in.)
Granted, ratings for all shows,
network and syndicated altie, are
lower now. But even with the bar
lowered (it takes a lot less to be considered a success nowadays), the
tabloid TV shows that used to dominate the Top 10 now barely squeak

tabloid TV shows that used to dom-inate the Top 10 now barely squeak into the Top 20, if that.

The bar hasn't been lowered just ratings-wise, either. "A Current Affair literally changed the face of television. The stories people had to seek out furtively in their local supermarket checkout line were suddenly on TV for free.

Those stories — with the shock-

Those stories — with the shock-ing! controversial! ways they were presented — seeped from the syndi-cated TV magazines to local TV and

finally primetime. Eye-catching video became the driving force for programmers. People scoffed at Fox's "When







WHEN 'A CURRENT AFFAIR' WAS KING

Who could forget these low-lifes who 'starred' in notorious vidoes on the Fox Network's legendary tabloid show: (1-r) Ice skating knee-capper Tonya Harding, prepple murderer Robert Chambers and the Long Island Lolita Amy Fischer.

Animals Attack" specials. Now all the networks program similar fare. Supermarket weeklies — finding their best stories covered on "Dateline" and "20/20" - have already faltered under the pressure too. The Enquirer, for one, has plummeted 50 percent in circula-tion, from 4.4 million in '86 to 2.2 million today. Star magazine and Globe have seen similar drops.

Rivera was right when he said there's basically no difference between the networks' news maga-zines and tabloid TV — no difference in style and, increasingly, no difference in content.

That's no surprise to Steve Dunleavy — one of the stars of "A Current Affair" during its heyday, and a Post columnist. He notes the steady stream of editors, producers, and other behind-the-scenes per-sonnel who've done good work and been snapped up by network news divisions looking to fill airtime. "Whether it be 'Dateline,' '20/20' or '60 Minutes I or II,' they've cer-

tainly learned lessons from our genre," says Dunleavy. "That is

absolutely undeniable, though

"Dateline, the way it's edited, is almost identical to 'A Current Affair," says Dunleavy. I actually saw a '60 Minutes' segment last year where they put music under some footage; they never would have done that years ago."

With networks chasing the same

stories, that leaves the people being courted for interviews with an easy choice: a prime time segment on "Dateline" introduced by nice Jane Pauley or a slot on "Hard Copy" Pauley or a slot on "Hard Copy sometime during the day (4:30 in the afternoon? 12:00 at night?) cued by Kyle Kraska. How about "Inside Edition," with Deborah Norville? (And wasn't she the gal who elbowed Pauley off "Today"?)

Any small sum offered by the networks for the use of photos or video
— far less than the upfront money
offered by "Extra" and the others
and, to be fair, far less frequently a
part of the deal — just makes that decision easier.

Viewers have a lot of easy choices, too. They can watch the networks for slick takes on the scandal of the day and get their cheap thrills from "Jerry Springer."

But just as damaging to the tabloid shows was another Jerry — namely Seinfeld. His smash sitcom namely Seinfeld. His smash strom steamrolled into syndication, snatching away key prime access positions — the lucrative, hotly desired 7 and 7:30 p.m. slots that lead into primetime. "Inside" and the others live from scandal to scandal, but "Seinfeld" makes hay even when nothing happens.

ust when the first wave of offust when the first wave of off-network reruns ended, up popped Whoopi. Here in New York, for example, "Hard Copy" got pushed from WCBS/Ch. 2 at 7:30 (which bought Goldberg's hot new game show "Hollywood Squares") to WPIX/Ch. 11 at 1 p.m. That means less revenue for the show, but it's still in better shape here than still in better shape here than "Inside Edition," which WCBS has stranded at 1:30 a.m.

With these shows moving out of prime access into afternoon slots, they're facing a slew of new com-petitors. Besides the countless talk shows, there's the hot new genre of court TV, spun off from golden oldie "People's Court," and led by red-hot

"Judge Judy," which has rocketed in recent weeks (reaching 8.4 million viewers daily) and still growing. All of this makes the daily ritual of reading the overnight ratings a frightening affair for the syndicated recess margines.

news magazines.
"Getting those ratings in the morning was like getting a fix of heroin," says one former staffer of the old days. But with those figures far lower now, the highs are cheap-er and quicker and the staffers soon hit bottom a lot harder.

So now that "American Journal" has

been axed, which show will die next? At "Extra" and "Inside Edition," they want to believe it's "Hard Copy." They claim not to even con-sider it one of their competitors.

sider it one of their competitors.

And though most staffers point out they have friends at the other shows and that for another show to die is "bad for the genre," they immediately follow up with stories of "Hard Copy" personnel sending out resumed.

"Everyone knows this is its last year," bluntly says the former staffer who remembers the show's glory days.

Paramount executives Frank Kelly and Joel Berman — co-presidents of Paramount's syndicated arm — beg to differ, even though having a show fade into the sunset after ten years would hardly be unusual. They argue that none of the moves they've made were done for cost-cut-

ting purposes.

They argue strenuously about the show's success in certain mar-kets as a lead-in to local news and in other markets as a competi-tor against the second half of "The Tonight Show." Not as exciting Show." Not as exciting as competing in the hour before primetime, to be sure. But in a market where shows like "Martha Stewart's Living" — which reaches 2.5 million people each day — are considered a promising success, it could be example a promising success, it could

be enough to guarantee survival for

be enough to guarantee survival for another year or two.

Indeed, instead of a sign that they're throwing in the towel on "Hard Copy," the changes they've made can be seen as a grudging recognition of the changing market-place and the need to keep the show profitable now that it's taking in

ess revenue. Whether all three shows straggle on for years or only one remains

on for years or only one remains standing, there's no question the worm has turned.

Whether their time has simply gone or whether they succeeded so well that they've put themselves out of business, it's fun to watch them tussle in the mud, like kids fighting over an old toy that no one else would want.

"Inside" touts its investigative pieces. "Extra" emulates the stacca-to abandon of yesteryear, complete with sweeps segments on "the world's smallest twins." And "Hard Copy" scoops everyone by riding the bandwagon of Jesse "The Body" Ventura into the governor's man-sion of Minnesota.

The tabloid TV shows have to wonder with a former wrestler suc-

wonder: with a former wrester suc-ceeding in politics, maybe, just maybe, their work is done. Michael Giltz writes regularly for "Entertainment Weekly."